UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,642	09/08/2006	Torbjorn Hagg	B&LAB 3.3-024	9742
	7590 11/17/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	8	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		HALPERN, MARK	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/589,642	HAGG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Halpern	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/27 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-17 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r from consideration. The election requirement. The epted or b) □ objected to by the E				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical strength 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

1) Applicant's election with traverse of invention I, drawn on claims 1-8, in the reply filed on 10/27/2008, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search is coextensive and the entire application should have been considered. This is not found persuasive. The inventions I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 17 is either obvious over or anticipated by US 6,197,160. Accordingly, the special feature linking the inventions, a twin-wire press for dewatering of a fiber suspension, does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 9-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

2) Claims 4-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form since the claims are multiple dependent. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 4-8 have not been further treated on the merits.

Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3) Claims 1-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-8 do not recite active method steps.

Claim 1, lines 16-17, the phrase "...for cutting of the coating to a desired level..." is not clear or definite that the action of "cutting" takes place.

Claim 1, lines 20-22, the phrase "the machining tool is brought back to the original rest position when the cutting of the coating to desired level has been achieved." is conditional and renders the claim indefinite.

Claims 1, 3-8: are not clear as to what event(s) is taking place: "machining" or "cutting" or perhaps grinding or scraping of the surface of a roll. "Machining" is recited in claims 1, 3, 5-8; "cutting" is recited in claims 1, 4.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the machining of the coating" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the machining of the coating" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "cutting is done" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 1-3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Justus (3,775,241). Justus discloses a method for grinding of the surface of paper making roll to a desired contour and level as the paper roll is carrying a web in a paper machine operation (cols. 1-3 and Figures 1-2). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the paper machine include a twin wire press section and the grinding of the rolls occur in the twin wire press section.

Conclusion

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791